arXiv:1303.7178v1 [hep-ph] 28 Mar 2013

DFPD-2013/TH/05
TUM-HEP-883/13

S_4 and CP in a SUSY Model

Ferruccio Feruglio [a),b)], Claudia Hagedorn [a),b),c)]
and Robert Ziegler [d),e)]

[a)] Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia 'G. Galilei', Università di Padova,
Via Marzolo 8, I-35131 Padua, Italy

[b)] INFN, Sezione di Padova, Via Marzolo 8, I-35131 Padua, Italy

[c)] SISSA, Via Bonomea 265, I-34136 Trieste, Italy

[d)] Physik-Department, Technische Universität München,
James-Franck-Strasse, D-85748 Garching, Germany

[e)] TUM Institute for Advanced Study, Technische Universität München,
Lichtenbergstrasse 2a, D-85748 Garching, Germany

E-mail: `feruglio@pd.infn.it, hagedorn@pd.infn.it, robert.ziegler@ph.tum.de`

Abstract

We present a supersymmetric model with a flavour symmetry $S_4 \times Z_3$ and a CP symmetry which are broken to $Z_3^{(D)}$ in the charged lepton sector and $Z_2 \times CP$ in the neutrino one at leading order. This model implements an approach, capable of predicting lepton mixing angles and Dirac as well as Majorana phases in terms of one free parameter. This parameter, directly related to the size of the reactor mixing angle θ_{13}, can be naturally of the correct order in our model. Atmospheric mixing is maximal, while $\sin^2\theta_{12} \gtrsim 1/3$. All three phases are predicted: the Dirac phase is maximal, whereas the two Majorana phases are trivial. The neutrino mass matrix only contains three different real parameters at leading order and the neutrino masses effectively only depend on two of them. As a consequence, they have to be normally ordered and the model predicts the absolute neutrino mass scale and the sum of the neutrino masses. We study the main subleading corrections to the leading order results and show that they are in general small.

1 Introduction

The discovery of neutrino oscillations and the first information on lepton mixing angles has led to an intense research in this field. The data could shed light on the flavour mystery and help to establish some organizing principle relevant for fermion masses and mixing parameters. Before the determination of the reactor mixing angle θ_{13} several flavour symmetries, usually broken in a particular way, have been proposed, see [1], which give rise to mixing patterns with vanishing θ_{13}. A prime example is tri-bimaximal (TB) mixing [2] which was well compatible with the experimental data and could be derived from flavour groups such as A_4 [3] and S_4 [4]. The discovery of a relatively large reactor mixing angle [5], however, requires most likely additional ingredients beyond the presence of a small discrete flavour symmetry. The simplest assumption which can be made is to invoke sufficiently large corrections to the leading order (LO) pattern such that current data [6] can be accommodated [7]. A disadvantage of this Ansatz is the fact that the predictability of such models is in general reduced. Discrete groups larger than A_4 or S_4 have also been considered in order to accommodate a non-vanishing θ_{13} [8]. A further approach consists in applying less stringent requirements on the residual symmetries in the charged lepton and neutrino sectors [9]. In this case θ_{13} becomes essentially a free parameter. Correlations among this and the other mixing angles could still provide a testable prediction.

The possible role of CP and its ability to allow for a non-vanishing reactor mixing angle, while constraining at the same time CP phases, has been explored to some extent in the past. In such cases CP acts non-trivially in flavour space [10]. A well-known example is given by the so-called $\mu\tau$ reflection symmetry [11,12], which exchanges a muon (tau) neutrino with a tau (muon) antineutrino in the charged lepton mass basis. If such a symmetry is imposed, the atmospheric mixing angle is predicted to be maximal, while θ_{13} is in general non-vanishing for a maximal Dirac phase δ. Models combining S_4 and CP can be found in the recent literature [13,14]. [1]

Taking these examples as starting point, we have recently considered an approach in which a discrete flavour and a CP symmetry are broken in a particular way such that the Pontecorvo-Maki-Nakagawa-Sakata (PMNS) mixing matrix only depends on one free parameter. In the presence of a flavour symmetry G_f, CP transformations cannot be defined arbitrarily in flavour space, but are subject to certain consistency conditions [18,19]. If these are fulfilled, we can consider a theory with G_f and CP and can study the case in which symmetries G_e and G_ν are preserved in the charged lepton and neutrino sectors, respectively. G_e is a subgroup of G_f, while, generalising the idea of the $\mu\tau$ reflection symmetry, G_ν is taken to be the direct product of CP and a Z_2 symmetry contained in G_f. In [18] we have shown in a model-independent way that such a breaking leads to a mixing pattern with only one free parameter and thus mixing angles as well as CP phases are strongly correlated. We have furthermore shown that for $G_f = S_4$ several new mixing patterns, well compatible with current experimental data [6], can be derived.

In the present paper we discuss a concrete model in which the above idea of the breaking of a flavour and a CP symmetry is implemented. The framework of the model is supersymmetric (SUSY) and the gauge group is the one of the Standard Model (SM). We focus on the lepton sector and consider as matter superfields only the leptonic ones of the Minimal Supersymmetric Standard Model (MSSM). There are additional supermultiplets, flavons and driving fields, which are singlets under the gauge group and are necessary for the correct spontaneous breaking of G_f and CP. We assume the mass of the scalar resonance found at LHC [20, 21] can be accommodated by some mechanism. We consider $G_f = S_4 \times Z_3$ and a CP symmetry. The role of the Z_3 symmetry is to facilitate the generation of the charged lepton mass hierarchy without tuning. Two distinct sets of flavons are present which contribute either to the charged lepton or to the neutrino mass matrix at LO. The vacuum expectation values (VEVs) of the former leave G_e invariant, while those of the latter G_ν. The vacuum alignment is achieved via vanishing of F-terms of the driving fields. The lepton mixing matrix is of the same form as in one of the cases exemplified in [18] and the free parameter, directly related to the reactor mixing angle, is naturally of the correct order due to its origin from a subleading contribution to the neutrino mass matrix. The mixing angles agree within 3σ with the latest global fit results [6]. Concerning the CP phases we find that the Dirac phase is maximal and the two Majorana phases vanish at LO. Since some of the contributions to the neutrino mass matrix which are compatible with the preservation of G_ν are suppressed in our actual model, the neutrino mass spectrum can only be normally ordered. Indeed, the three neutrino masses effectively depend only on two different parameters so that, after fitting the two known mass squared differences, the absolute mass scale and the sum of the neutrino masses is strongly constrained.

---

[1] For other approaches comprising a discrete symmetry and CP see [15–17].

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we briefly recall the framework of [18] and we apply it to the case of our interest. We assign the transformation properties of lepton and Higgs multiplets under $G_f = S_4 \times Z_3$ and CP and we determine the most general VEVs of the flavon fields, compatible with the desired residual symmetries in the charged lepton and neutrino sectors. In section 3 we specify the superpotential of our model involving lepton fields, we analyze charged lepton and neutrino mass matrices, both at LO and at next-to-leading order (NLO), and we present the results for lepton masses and mixing parameters. In section 4 we discuss the vacuum alignment and we demonstrate that at LO the desired pattern of VEVs is a minimum of the scalar potential in the SUSY limit. Furthermore we examine the dominant NLO contributions to the flavon VEVs. In section 5 we summarize the main results of our paper. Some details of the group theory of S_4 are contained in appendix A.

2 Approach

We elaborate on a proposal which we have recently presented in [18]. We assume a finite, discrete flavour group, here $G_f = S_4 \times Z_3$, the direct product of the permutation group of four distinct objects and the cyclic symmetry Z_3. This group is combined with a generalized CP transformation X which acts also on flavour space. If broken in a particular way, all lepton mixing angles and CP phases can be predicted in terms of only one free parameter.

The group S_4 can be conveniently represented in terms of three generators S, T and U with S and U having order two, while T is of order three. For details about the group theory of S_4 see appendix A. A generic multiplet of fields is denoted by ϕ and transforms in a certain representation $(\mathbf{r}, q)$ of the flavour group G_f ($\mathbf{r}$ is among the S_4 representations and q stands for the Z_3 charge: 1, ω or ω^2 with $\omega = e^{2\pi i/3}$). The CP transformation X acts on ϕ as

$$\phi'(x) = X\phi^*(x_{CP}) \tag{1}$$

with $x_{CP} = (x^0, -\vec{x})$. The choice of X is not arbitrary, but X has to fulfill certain conditions: first, we require X to be a unitary and symmetric matrix,

$$XX^\dagger = XX^* = \mathbb{1} \,, \tag{2}$$

so that $CP^2 = 1$ holds.[2] Secondly, X also has to fulfill a consistency condition involving transformations which belong to the group G_f. For all elements g of the group G_f the following statement has to be valid: let A be the (unitary) matrix which represents g in $(\mathbf{r}, q)$, then an element $g' \in G_f$ should exist such that

$$(X^{-1}AX)^* = A' \tag{3}$$

with A' denoting the (unitary) matrix associated with g' in $(\mathbf{r}, q)$. Notice that g and g' are in general distinct elements. As has been shown in [18], see also [19], the mathematical structure of the group G_{CP} comprising G_f and CP is a semi-direct product and can be written as $G_{CP} = G_f \rtimes H_{CP}$ with H_{CP} being the parity group generated by CP.

Closely following the analysis which has been performed in [18], we show that the lepton mixing parameters can be predicted from the breaking of the group G_{CP} to $G_\nu = Z_2 \times CP$ in the neutrino and to $G_e = Z_3^{(D)}$ in the charged lepton sector. $Z_3^{(D)}$ denotes here the diagonal subgroup of the group Z_3 generated by T and the Z_3 symmetry present in G_f, but not contained in S_4. [3] The requirement that G_ν should be a direct product of Z_2 and CP leads to a further constraint on X

$$XZ^* - ZX = 0 \tag{4}$$

with Z being the generator of the Z_2 symmetry in the representation $(\mathbf{r}, q)$.

In [18] we have performed a comprehensive study of all admissible X for the possible choices of Z in case of $G_f = S_4$. As can be checked these transformations X are also viable for $G_f = S_4 \times Z_3$, since the abelian factor Z_3 in G_f does not impose any further constraints on X. In order to simplify our consideration regarding the diagonal subgroup $Z_3^{(D)}$, we choose a basis in which the generator T is diagonal in all representations of S_4; its explicit realizations as well as those of the other two generators S and U can be found in appendix A.

By choosing $Z = S$, a consistent choice for X satisfying eqs.(2, 3, 4) is

$$X_{\mathbf{1}} = 1 \;,\; X_{\mathbf{1'}} = -1 \;,\; X_{\mathbf{2}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \;,\; X_{\mathbf{3}} = -\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \;,\; X_{\mathbf{3'}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \,. \tag{5}$$

Here we label with $X_{\mathbf{r}}$ the realization of the CP transformation X in the representation $\mathbf{r}$ of S_4. Eqs. (1,5) specify the action of CP in flavour space. We observe that the transformations in eq. (5) correspond to the choice $X_{\mathbf{3}'} = \mathbb{1}$ in [18], as it can be checked by changing basis from the one adopted here to the one chosen in [18] (via a unitary matrix). The generalized CP transformation $X_{\mathbf{3}'}$ in eq. (5) is known as $\mu\tau$ reflection symmetry in the literature [11, 12]. It is interesting to notice that for an X satisfying eqs.(2, 3, 4), also ZX does so. Therefore, also ZX provides an admissible CP transformation.

---

[2] For a possible generalization of this condition see [18].

[3] Compare also [23] for such a type of residual symmetry G_e.

We require that left-handed leptons l transform as $(\mathbf{3}', 1)$ under $S_4 \times Z_3$. If the residual symmetry of the charged lepton sector G_e is $Z_3^{(D)}$, the combination $m_l^\dagger m_l$ (m_l is the charged lepton mass matrix in the so-called right-left notation, $l^c\, m_l\, l$) is diagonal and thus does not contribute to the lepton mixing, up to possible relabeling of the generations. For neutrinos we assume that they are Majorana particles and that their masses arise from the Weinberg operator. Preserving $G_\nu = Z_2 \times CP$ in the neutrino sector requires that the neutrino mass matrix m_ν fulfills the invariance conditions

$$Z^T m_\nu Z = m_\nu \quad , \qquad X_{\mathbf{3}'} m_\nu X_{\mathbf{3}'} = m_\nu^* \quad . \tag{6}$$

It follows that

$$U_{PMNS}^T \, m_\nu \, U_{PMNS} = m_\nu^{diag} \quad , \tag{7}$$

with

$$U_{PMNS} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \begin{pmatrix} 2\cos\theta & \sqrt{2} & 2\sin\theta \\ -\cos\theta + i\sqrt{3}\sin\theta & \sqrt{2} & -\sin\theta - i\sqrt{3}\cos\theta \\ -\cos\theta - i\sqrt{3}\sin\theta & \sqrt{2} & -\sin\theta + i\sqrt{3}\cos\theta \end{pmatrix} K \tag{8}$$

and m_ν^{diag} containing the neutrino masses m_i, $i = 1, 2, 3$. The unitary matrix K is diagonal with entries ± 1 and $\pm i$ which encode the CP parity of the neutrino states. Notice that, at this level, the mixing matrix is only determined up to permutations of rows and columns, since neither charged lepton nor neutrino masses are constrained by the above conditions. All mixing parameters, angles and Dirac as well as Majorana phases, are predicted in terms of the parameter θ, which depends on the entries of the neutrino mass matrix and can take in general values between 0 and π. From eq.(8) the mixing angles can be read off [4]

$$\sin^2\theta_{13} = \frac{2}{3}\sin^2\theta \ , \ \ \sin^2\theta_{12} = \frac{1}{2 + \cos 2\theta} \ , \ \ \sin^2\theta_{23} = \frac{1}{2} \ . \tag{9}$$

Thus, for $\theta \approx 0.185$ the best fit value for the reactor mixing angle can be accommodated well and also the solar and atmospheric mixing angles are within their $3\,\sigma$ ranges [6]. For a χ^2 analysis we refer to [18]. Since the second column of U_{PMNS} in eq.(8) is tri-maximal, the solar mixing angle is constrained by $\sin^2\theta_{12} \geq 1/3$ [22]. The Majorana phases α and β are trivial,

$$\sin\alpha = 0 \ , \ \ \sin\beta = 0 \ , \tag{10}$$

while the Dirac phase δ is maximal

$$|\sin\delta| = 1 \tag{11}$$

and thus $|J_{CP}| = |\sin 2\theta|/(6\sqrt{3})$. A characteristic feature which has already been noticed in the literature [11, 12] of the mixing matrix in eq.(8) is the fact that the absolute values of the entries of the second and third rows are equal, i.e. $|U_{\mu i}| = |U_{\tau i}|$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$. This directly leads to maximal atmospheric mixing, since $\sin\theta_{23} = \cos\theta_{23}$ and for non-vanishing θ_{13} also to a maximal Dirac phase, $\cos\delta = 0$.

---

[4] For the convention we use for mixing angles and CP phases see appendix in [18].

In the subsequent section we want to construct an explicit model and thus have to specify several aspects. First of all, we consider an extension of the MSSM in which the MSSM Higgs doublets $h_{u,d}$ are not involved in the breaking of the flavour symmetry, but gauge singlets only charged under G_f are responsible for this breaking. Secondly, we choose the right-handed charged leptons to transform as $e^c \sim (\mathbf{1}', 1)$, $\mu^c \sim (\mathbf{1}, \omega)$ and $\tau^c \sim (\mathbf{1}, \omega^2)$ under $S_4 \times Z_3$. This choice does not affect the result for lepton mixing, but turns out to be useful for accommodating the hierarchy among charged lepton masses correctly. Indeed, as we argue below, see section 3, this hierarchy can be naturally achieved with a judicious choice of flavons. The transformation properties of the matter superfields and the MSSM Higgs doublets under $S_4 \times Z_3$ and the auxiliary symmetry Z_{16} can be found in table 1. Notice that the role of this auxiliary symmetry is mainly to sufficiently disentangle the two flavour symmetry breaking sectors.

	l	e^c	μ^c	τ^c	h_u	h_d
S_4	$\mathbf{3}'$	$\mathbf{1}'$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$
Z_3	1	1	ω	ω^2	1	1
Z_{16}	1	ω_8^7	1	1	ω_{16}^7	1

Table 1: Matter superfields and MSSM Higgs doublets $h_{u,d}$ of our model. As one can see, only one of the latter is charged under the auxiliary symmetry. Note that the auxiliary symmetry Z_{16} is effectively a Z_8 symmetry at the level of operators with matter superfields, since $(lh_u)^2$ carries a phase ω_8^7. The phases are $\omega = e^{2\pi i/3}$, $\omega_8 = e^{2\pi i/8}$ and $\omega_{16} = e^{2\pi i/16}$.

Since we wish to break the group G_{CP} spontaneously to $G_e = Z_3^{(D)}$ and $G_\nu = Z_2 \times CP$, it is convenient to list the most general form of the VEVs that flavon fields in the different representations of S_4 can take and which leave G_e and G_ν invariant, respectively. In order to preserve the group $G_e = Z_3^{(D)}$ flavons ξ and ξ' in one-dimensional representations $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{1}'$ of S_4 having a non-vanishing VEV should transform trivially under Z_3. In the case of a flavon χ being a doublet under S_4 the group G_e can be preserved only if χ carries a non-trivial charge under Z_3. The VEVs are

$$\chi \sim (\mathbf{2}, \omega) \;:\; \langle\chi\rangle \propto \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \chi \sim (\mathbf{2}, \omega^2) \;:\; \langle\chi\rangle \propto \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} . \tag{12}$$

Flavons $\psi \sim \mathbf{3}$ and $\varphi \sim \mathbf{3}'$ can always acquire non-zero VEV, independent of their charge under Z_3. The latter charge, however, determines which of the components of the fields actually acquires a non-vanishing VEV:

$$\psi \sim (\mathbf{3}, 1) \;:\; \langle\psi\rangle \propto \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} , \; \psi \sim (\mathbf{3}, \omega) \;:\; \langle\psi\rangle \propto \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} , \; \psi \sim (\mathbf{3}, \omega^2) \;:\; \langle\psi\rangle \propto \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{13}$$

and the same being true for the structure of the VEVs of $\varphi \sim \mathbf{3}'$ under S_4.

The VEVs that preserve G_ν are

$$\langle \xi \rangle = v_\xi \ , \ \ \langle \xi' \rangle = v_{\xi'} \ , \ \ \langle \chi \rangle = \left(\begin{array}{c} v_\chi \\ v_\chi^* \end{array} \right) \ , \ \ \ \langle \psi \rangle = v_\psi \left(\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{array} \right) \ , \ \ \langle \varphi \rangle = v_\varphi \left(\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{array} \right) \ , \tag{14}$$

with the parameters v_ξ and v_φ being real, $v_{\xi'}$ and v_ψ being imaginary, while v_χ is in general complex. Since $G_\nu = Z_2 \times CP$, it is irrelevant for the vacuum structure preserving G_ν how these fields transform under Z_3. At LO only the flavons ξ, χ and φ can couple to the the Weinberg operator, see appendix A. Their couplings are suppressed by Λ which is the generic high-energy cutoff in our model. Since it is also the scale which is relevant for the Weinberg operator it is in general inversely proportional to the light neutrino masses. Upon breaking to G_ν the most general form that the neutrino mass matrix can take is

$$m_\nu = \left(\begin{array}{ccc} y_\xi v_\xi + 2\, y_\varphi v_\varphi & y_\chi v_\chi - y_\varphi v_\varphi & y_\chi v_\chi^* - y_\varphi v_\varphi \\ y_\chi v_\chi - y_\varphi v_\varphi & y_\chi v_\chi^* + 2\, y_\varphi v_\varphi & y_\xi v_\xi - y_\varphi v_\varphi \\ y_\chi v_\chi^* - y_\varphi v_\varphi & y_\xi v_\xi - y_\varphi v_\varphi & y_\chi v_\chi + 2\, y_\varphi v_\varphi \end{array} \right) \frac{\langle h_u \rangle^2}{\Lambda^2} \tag{15}$$

with y_ξ, y_χ and y_φ indicating the Yukawa couplings to the flavons ξ, χ and φ, respectively. These are real because of the invariance of the original theory under a CP symmetry generated by X. As expected, this matrix is diagonalized by U_{PMNS} in eq.(8). The imaginary part of v_χ is responsible for the breaking of the $\mu\tau$ symmetry of the matrix m_ν and thus its relative size compared to the other VEVs is directly related to the size of the reactor mixing angle θ_{13}. For this reason, this imaginary part arises effectively from an operator with two flavons in the model presented in the subsequent section, whereas the flavons transforming as $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{3}'$ contribute via operators with one flavon to the matrix m_ν. Notice that the vanishing of the imaginary part of v_χ promotes G_ν to $Z_2 \times Z_2 \times CP$. As one can check, the neutrino mass matrix in eq.(15) contains effectively four independent real parameters. This tells us that we cannot make a prediction for the neutrino mass spectrum in the general case. In our specific model, however, the contribution leading to an equivalent effect as the real part of v_χ is suppressed and the neutrino mass spectrum can only to be normally ordered.

3 Model with S_4 and CP

In this section we define our model. As anticipated, we adopt a SUSY framework, neglecting all SUSY breaking effects. The gauge group is that of the SM and the lepton fields are those of the MSSM. The flavour symmetry is $G_f = S_4 \times Z_3$ and the CP transformation X is defined as in eq.(5) for each representation $(\mathbf{r}, q)$ of the flavour group. We use as auxiliary symmetry a Z_{16} group in order to forbid unwanted operators. The transformation properties under $G_f \times Z_{16}$ of the leptons and MSSM Higgs doublets $h_{u,d}$ are collected in table 1, while those of the flavons and the driving fields are presented in tables 2 and 3, respectively. We discuss the results for lepton masses and mixing at LO in subsection 3.1 and the those at NLO in subsection 3.2. All operators directly contributing to the lepton mass matrices are non-renormalizable in our model and are suppressed by appropriate powers of the cutoff scale Λ. We consider two different sets of flavons one responsible for the breaking to G_e and one for preserving G_ν. At LO the fields whose VEVs leave G_e invariant only contribute to charged lepton masses, while those leaving G_ν intact generate neutrino masses. At the subleading level this changes and all flavons contribute to charged lepton as well as neutrino mass matrices.

3.1 Leading order results

In order to implement the approach described in section 2 we let the three generations of left-handed leptons l_i transform in the irreducible three-dimensional representation $\mathbf{3'}$, while right-handed charged leptons e^c, μ^c, τ^c, as mentioned above, are singlets under the flavour group. In this way, the generation of the hierarchy among the charged lepton masses is facilitated. At the same time, the three generations of charged leptons are distinguished by their transformation properties under the cyclic group Z_3. In our model, charged lepton masses arise at the one-, two- and higher-flavon level and thus are naturally hierarchical. We include the flavon fields $\varphi_E \sim (\mathbf{3'}, \omega)$ and $\chi_E \sim (\mathbf{2}, \omega)$ under $S_4 \times Z_3$. [5] The most relevant operators for charged lepton masses are then[6]

$$w_e = y_\tau (l\varphi_E)\tau^c h_d/\Lambda + y_{\mu,1}(l\varphi_E^2)\mu^c h_d/\Lambda^2 + y_{\mu,2}(l\chi_E\varphi_E)\mu^c h_d/\Lambda^2 \; . \tag{16}$$

Since we impose CP as a symmetry on the theory in the unbroken phase, all couplings in w_e and in the following superpotentials have to be real. As explained in section 4, at LO the flavons χ_E and φ_E acquire the VEVs

$$\langle\chi_E\rangle = v_{\chi_E}\begin{pmatrix}0\\1\end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \langle\varphi_E\rangle = v_{\varphi_E}\begin{pmatrix}0\\1\\0\end{pmatrix} \quad , \tag{17}$$

which leave the subgroup $G_e = Z_3^{(D)}$ of $S_4 \times Z_3$ invariant. We find m_l to be diagonal in flavour space with only non-zero entries in the (22) and (33) elements which correspond to the muon and tau lepton masses

$$m_\mu = (2\; y_{\mu,1} v_{\varphi_E} + y_{\mu,2} v_{\chi_E})\,\frac{v_{\varphi_E}}{\Lambda^2}\,\langle h_d\rangle \quad \text{and} \quad m_\tau = y_\tau \frac{v_{\varphi_E}}{\Lambda}\,\langle h_d\rangle \; , \tag{18}$$

respectively. Their relative hierarchy is correctly reproduced for (note the VEVs of χ_E and φ_E can be in general complex)

$$|v_{\chi_E}|, |v_{\varphi_E}| \sim \lambda^2\,\Lambda \quad \text{with} \quad \lambda \approx 0.2 \; . \tag{19}$$

Due to the size of $|v_{\varphi_E}|$ we also predict $\tan\beta = \langle h_u\rangle/\langle h_d\rangle$ to be of order 10. The electron remains massless at this level and its mass is generated via higher dimensional operators, discussed in section 3.2.

---

[5] Adding the field χ_E is mainly necessary in order to achieve the correct vacuum alignment, as seen in section 4.

[6] We indicate the contraction to a trivial singlet $\mathbf{1}$ of S_4 by $(\cdots)$.

	χ_E	φ_E	$\xi_N, \tilde{\xi}_N$	χ_N	φ_N	ξ'_N
S_4	$\mathbf{2}$	$\mathbf{3'}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{2}$	$\mathbf{3'}$	$\mathbf{1'}$
Z_3	ω	ω	1	1	1	1
Z_{16}	1	1	ω_8	ω_8^5	ω_8	ω_8^4

Table 2: Flavons and their transformation properties under $G_f \times Z_{16}$. The flavons labelled with E are charged under Z_3 and neutral under Z_{16}, whereas those with an index N only carry non-trivial charge under Z_{16}. The phases are $\omega = e^{2\pi i/3}$ and $\omega_8 = e^{2\pi i/8}$.

As explained, neutrino masses arise in our model from the Weinberg operator. The flavon multiplets ξ_N, $\tilde{\xi}_N$ and φ_N are in $(\mathbf{1}, 1)$ and in $(\mathbf{3'}, 1)$ under $S_4 \times Z_3$, respectively, and couple at the level of one flavon insertion, while the fields $\xi'_N \sim (\mathbf{1'}, 1)$ and $\chi_N \sim (\mathbf{2}, 1)$ couple together at the level of two flavons to neutrinos. Such a situation can be arranged by an appropriate choice of the charges under the auxiliary symmetry Z_{16}, see table 2. The lowest dimensional operators responsible for neutrino masses are thus

$$w_\nu = y_{\nu,1}(ll)h_u^2\xi_N/\Lambda^2 + \tilde{y}_{\nu,1}(ll)h_u^2\tilde{\xi}_N/\Lambda^2 + y_{\nu,2}(ll\varphi_N)h_u^2/\Lambda^2 + y_{\nu,3}(ll\chi_N\xi'_N)h_u^2/\Lambda^3 \ . \tag{20}$$

As shown in section 4, at LO the flavons ξ_N, $\tilde{\xi}_N$, ξ'_N, χ_N and φ_N develop the VEVs

$$\langle\tilde{\xi}_N\rangle = 0 \ , \quad \langle\xi_N\rangle = v_{\xi_N} \ , \quad \langle\xi'_N\rangle = v_{\xi'_N} \ , \quad \langle\chi_N\rangle = v_{\chi_N} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \ , \quad \langle\varphi_N\rangle = v_{\varphi_N} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{21}$$

with v_{ξ_N}, v_{χ_N} and v_{φ_N} being complex, while $v_{\xi'_N}$ is imaginary. We also find that v_{ξ_N}, v_{χ_N} and v_{φ_N} have a common phase (up to relative signs). The neutrino mass matrix m_ν takes the form

$$m_\nu = \begin{pmatrix} t_\nu + 2\,u_\nu & -u_\nu - ix_\nu & -u_\nu + ix_\nu \\ -u_\nu - ix_\nu & 2\,u_\nu + ix_\nu & t_\nu - u_\nu \\ -u_\nu + ix_\nu & t_\nu - u_\nu & 2\,u_\nu - ix_\nu \end{pmatrix} \frac{\langle h_u\rangle^2}{\Lambda} \tag{22}$$

with parameters

$$t_\nu = y_{\nu,1}\,\frac{v_{\xi_N}}{\Lambda} \ , \quad u_\nu = y_{\nu,2}\,\frac{v_{\varphi_N}}{\Lambda} \ , \quad x_\nu = i\,y_{\nu,3}\,\frac{v_{\xi'_N} v_{\chi_N}}{\Lambda^2} \ . \tag{23}$$

The common phase of v_{ξ_N}, v_{χ_N} and v_{φ_N} is unphysical as regards lepton masses and mixing at this level, since it can be factored out from m_ν. Thus, the parameters t_ν, u_ν and x_ν can be regarded as real. Among the leading contributions coming from the operators in eq.(20) it is the one comprising ξ'_N and χ_N which renders the neutrino mass matrix in eq.(22) invariant only under $G_\nu = Z_2 \times CP$ and not $Z_2 \times Z_2 \times CP$. The matrix m_ν in eq. (22) is slightly different from the one in eq. (15) since, after extracting the overall phase, the combination $\chi_N \xi'_N$ only develops an imaginary VEV. The latter is responsible for a non-vanishing value of θ_{13}. This mixing angle is naturally smaller than the other two ones, because it arises from an operator with two flavons instead of only one as the other two angles. In order to achieve the correct size of θ_{13} the relative strength of the contribution from the last operator in eq.(20) must be suppressed by a factor λ with respect to the contributions from the first three operators in eq.(20). This is the case, if we choose[7]

$$|v_{\xi_N}|, |v_{\xi'_N}|, |v_{\chi_N}|, |v_{\varphi_N}| \sim \lambda\, \Lambda\ . \tag{24}$$

As mentioned in section 2, the neutrino mass matrix m_ν is diagonalized by a mixing matrix of the form given in eq.(8). At the LO such a matrix is the PMNS mixing matrix, since there is no contribution from the charged lepton sector. The angle θ is directly related to θ_{13}, see eq.(9), and depends on the two parameters u_ν and x_ν

$$\tan 2\theta = \frac{x_\nu}{\sqrt{3}\, u_\nu}\ . \tag{25}$$

The neutrino masses read

$$m_1 = |t_\nu + \tilde{u}_\nu|\, \frac{\langle h_u \rangle^2}{\Lambda}\ ,\quad m_2 = |t_\nu|\, \frac{\langle h_u \rangle^2}{\Lambda}\ ,\quad m_3 = |t_\nu - \tilde{u}_\nu|\, \frac{\langle h_u \rangle^2}{\Lambda} \tag{26}$$

where we have defined

$$\tilde{u}_\nu = \sqrt{3}\, \mathrm{sign}(u_\nu \cos 2\theta)\, \sqrt{3u_\nu^2 + x_\nu^2}\ . \tag{27}$$

In order to show that neutrino masses as in eq.(26) imply normal mass hierarchy, we compute the atmospheric

$$\Delta m^2_{\rm atm} = m_3^2 - m_1^2 = -4\, t_\nu \tilde{u}_\nu\, \left(\frac{\langle h_u \rangle^2}{\Lambda}\right)^2 \tag{28}$$

and the solar mass squared differences

$$\Delta m^2_{\rm sol} = m_2^2 - m_1^2 = -\tilde{u}_\nu\, (2\, t_\nu + \tilde{u}_\nu)\, \left(\frac{\langle h_u \rangle^2}{\Lambda}\right)^2 \quad . \tag{29}$$

Since the solar mass squared difference is positive, we need

$$\tilde{u}_\nu\, (2\, t_\nu + \tilde{u}_\nu) < 0\ , \tag{30}$$

while $\Delta m^2_{\rm atm}$ can be either positive (normally ordering) or negative (inverted ordering). An inverted hierarchy requires $t_\nu \tilde{u}_\nu > 0$ which is incompatible with the requirement in eq.(30). In contrast, a normally ordered spectrum entails $t_\nu \tilde{u}_\nu < 0$. The two possible solutions ($t_\nu < 0$, $\tilde{u}_\nu > 0$ or $t_\nu > 0$, $\tilde{u}_\nu < 0$) are indeed compatible with the constraint in eq.(30), if we require $2\,|t_\nu| \gtrsim |\tilde{u}_\nu|$. As one can see from eq.(29), we have to tune $2\, t_\nu + \tilde{u}_\nu$ to be small in order to achieve a small value of $\Delta m^2_{\rm sol}/\Delta m^2_{\rm atm}$. Since the neutrino masses only depend on two real parameters t_ν and $\tilde{u}_\nu$, both of them are fixed by the measured values of the mass squared differences and as a consequence the absolute scale of neutrino masses and also their sum are fixed. Taking the best fit values for $\Delta m^2_{\rm sol}$ and $\Delta m^2_{\rm atm}$ as found in [6] we get

---

[7] Choosing all flavon VEVs associated with the neutrino sector to be of order λ is only convenient, but a priori not necessary.

$$m_1 \approx 0.016\,{\rm eV} \quad , \quad m_2 \approx 0.018\,{\rm eV} \quad , \quad m_3 \approx 0.052\,{\rm eV} \tag{31}$$

and

$$\Sigma\, m_\nu \approx 0.086\,{\rm eV}\;. \tag{32}$$

If we assume $\langle h_u \rangle = 100\,{\rm GeV}$ and $\Lambda = 10^{14}\,{\rm GeV}$ such that $\langle h_u \rangle^2/\Lambda = 0.1\,{\rm eV}$, the parameters t_ν, u_ν and x_ν are of order λ and λ^2, respectively, for all couplings being of order one.

3.2 Next-to-leading order results

In this subsection we address the most relevant contributions arising from shifts in the LO vacuum and from operators with more flavons than those discussed above. For the following discussion of the subleading contributions it is important to keep in mind that we have chosen different expansion parameters in the charged lepton and neutrino sectors, see eqs. (19) and (24). We first discuss the most important corrections to the neutrino mass matrix. These arise from the shift in the VEV of the flavon χ_N, see eq.(54), if plugged into the forth operator of eq.(20), and lead to a contribution to the neutrino mass matrix in eq.(22) which is suppressed by λ^2 with respect to those coming from the first three terms in eq.(20). This new contribution cannot be absorbed via a re-definition of the three parameters t_ν, u_ν and x_ν in m_ν, but instead requires a forth parameter, called p_ν in the following. This parameter is real, since the correction to the VEV of χ_N as well as $v_{\xi'_N}$ are both imaginary and all couplings are real. Furthermore, the contribution is $\mu\tau$-symmetric in flavour space. Thus, the corrected neutrino mass matrix reads

$$m_\nu^{\rm NLO} = \left(\begin{array}{ccc} t_\nu + 2u_\nu & -u_\nu - ix_\nu + p_\nu & -u_\nu + ix_\nu + p_\nu \\ -u_\nu - ix_\nu + p_\nu & 2u_\nu + ix_\nu + p_\nu & t_\nu - u_\nu \\ -u_\nu + ix_\nu + p_\nu & t_\nu - u_\nu & 2u_\nu - ix_\nu + p_\nu \end{array} \right) \frac{\langle h_u \rangle^2}{\Lambda} \tag{33}$$

with

$$p_\nu = i\, y_{\nu,3}\, \alpha\, \frac{v_{\xi'_N} v_{\chi_N}}{\Lambda^2}\, \lambda \tag{34}$$

being real, since $i\, v_{\xi'_N}$ is real. This matrix has the most general form which is compatible with the preservation of the group G_ν in the neutrino sector (modulo the overall phase which also shows up in the parameter p_ν and thus can be factored out). Consequently, the results for the mixing parameters remain unchanged, whereas the neutrino masses, see eq.(26), acquire corrections proportional to p_ν. Since p_ν is suppressed by λ^2 with respect to t_ν and u_ν, the correction to the LO results for the neutrino masses is also of the order λ^2.

Further corrections at order λ^6 arise, if we consider a shift in the vacuum of the field ξ'_N and re-compute the contribution coming from the forth operator in eq.(20). Corrections at order λ^7 appear if we include operators with flavons out of which three belong to the set $\{\chi_E, \varphi_E\}$ and one is either ξ_N, $\tilde{\xi}_N$ or φ_N and compute their contribution using the LO vacuum alignment of these flavons. In both cases the structure of the neutrino mass matrix $m_\nu^{\rm NLO}$ is altered in such a way that it is no longer compatible with the preservation of the residual symmetry G_ν. Thus, such corrections will also affect our results for the mixing parameters. However, these corrections are suppressed by at least λ^4 with respect to the those coming from the operators in eq.(20) and can be safely neglected for this reason.

In the same fashion we can analyze the subleading contributions to the charged lepton mass matrix which is diagonal at LO and does not allow for a non-zero electron mass. The latter instead is generated in two ways: first through operators with five flavons with an index N, if we take into account the shift in the VEV of the flavon χ_N (in the following we only mention those that actually give a non-zero contribution to the electron mass, omit all (real) Yukawa couplings and do not specify whether these operators arise from only one independent S_4 contraction or whether there are several independent ones)

$$l e^c h_d \xi_N^3 \chi_N \varphi_N/\Lambda^5 + l e^c h_d \xi_N \chi_N \varphi_N^3/\Lambda^5 + l e^c h_d \xi_N \chi_N^3 \varphi_N/\Lambda^5 \tag{35}$$

and secondly through operators with six flavons of this type (one of them being ξ'_N, an even number of fields χ_N and the rest either ξ_N, $\tilde{\xi}_N$ or φ_N), if we use the LO vacuum of these fields,

$$\begin{aligned} & l e^c h_d \xi_N^4 \xi'_N \varphi_N/\Lambda^6 + l e^c h_d \xi_N^2 \xi'_N \varphi_N^3/\Lambda^6 + l e^c h_d \xi'_N \varphi_N^5/\Lambda^6 \\ + \quad & l e^c h_d \xi_N^2 \xi'_N \chi_N^2 \varphi_N/\Lambda^6 + l e^c h_d \xi'_N \chi_N^2 \varphi_N^3/\Lambda^6 + l e^c h_d \xi'_N \chi_N^4 \varphi_N/\Lambda^6 \; . \end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

All these operators induce contributions to the charged lepton mass matrix which are of the order $\lambda^6 \langle h_d \rangle$ in the $(1i)$ elements, $i = 1, 2, 3$. Notice that these are all equal. In this way, an electron mass of order $\lambda^6 \langle h_d \rangle$ can be achieved which is in agreement with the observed mass hierarchy among the charged leptons. The reason for these operators to give rise to a contribution which is equal for all three elements of the first row of m_l can be easily understand by realizing that the form of the contribution can be simply derived from symmetry considerations, namely by studying the most general form of the VEV of a field in the representation **3** of S_4 which leaves the group G_ν invariant, see eq.(14).

Also the other elements of the charged lepton mass matrix are corrected at a subleading level. One type of corrections originates from the shifts in the VEVs of the fields χ_E and φ_E, see eq.(56), and results in (31) and (32) elements of order λ^6 and (21) and (23) elements of order λ^8 in units of $\langle h_d \rangle$. A second source of corrections are operators with more flavons which turn out to have the following structure: an operator with μ^c comprises two fields from the set $\{\chi_E, \varphi_E\}$ in order to saturate the Z_3 charge and either one field χ_N together with three flavons of the type $\{\xi_N, \tilde{\xi}_N, \varphi_N\}$ or three fields χ_N and one field ξ_N, $\tilde{\xi}_N$ or φ_N, while an operator with τ^c only requires one field from the set $\{\chi_E, \varphi_E\}$, while otherwise maintaing the same form as the operator with μ^c, e.g.

$$l \mu^c h_d \chi_E^2 \xi_N^2 \varphi_N \chi_N/\Lambda^6 + l \tau^c h_d \varphi_E \xi_N^3 \chi_N/\Lambda^6 \; . \tag{37}$$

Taking into account the LO form of the VEVs of fields with index N and E, we see that such operators contribute at the same level as the leading operators which are, however, evaluated with the shifted vacuum of χ_E and φ_E. Eventually we find the order of magnitudes of the different entries of the charged lepton mass matrix including subleading corrections

$$m_l^{\rm NLO} \sim \left(\begin{array}{ccc} \lambda^6 & \lambda^6 & \lambda^6 \\ \lambda^8 & \lambda^4 & \lambda^8 \\ \lambda^6 & \lambda^6 & \lambda^2 \end{array} \right) \langle h_d \rangle \; . \tag{38}$$

The charged lepton masses follow the correct hierarchy

$$m_e : m_\mu : m_\tau \approx \lambda^4 : \lambda^2 : 1 \tag{39}$$

with $m_\tau \approx \lambda^2 \langle h_d \rangle$ and we can estimate the size of the contribution to the lepton mixing angles coming from the charged lepton sector as

$$\theta^l_{ij} \sim \lambda^4 \quad \text{with} \quad ij = 12, 13, 23 \; . \tag{40}$$

Thus, such corrections have a small impact on the results for the lepton mixing parameters and can be neglected in our analysis.

4 Flavon superpotential

In order to construct the flavon superpotential responsible for the alignment of the flavon VEVs we assume the existence of an R-symmetry $U(1)_R$ [25] under which matter superfields carry charge +1, fields acquiring a non-vanishing vacuum (i.e. $h_{u,d}$ and all flavons) are uncharged and so-called driving fields, indicated with the superscript "0" carry charge +2. In this way all terms in the superpotential either contain two matter superfields (like the terms leading to lepton masses) or one driving field, like those to be discussed in this section. In the limit of unbroken supersymmetry, the F-terms of the latter have to vanish and in this way the vacuum of the flavons gets aligned. The driving fields necessary for our construction of the flavon superpotential are given in table 3. Their transformation properties under the flavour and auxiliary symmetries are chosen in such a way that at the renormalizable level the vacuum of the sets of fields $\{\chi_E, \varphi_E\}$, $\{\xi_N, \tilde{\xi}_N, \chi_N, \varphi_N\}$ and ξ'_N are aligned separately. In this way, we can enforce the first set of fields to spontaneously break G_{CP} to $Z_3^{(D)}$, the second one to $Z_2 \times G_\nu$ and ξ'_N to G_ν. Since also the flavon superpotential is invariant under CP, all couplings are real.

	ξ^0_E , $\tilde{\xi}^0_E$	χ^0_E	ξ^0_N	χ^0_N	φ^0_N	$\tilde{\xi}^0_N$
S_4	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{2}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{2}$	$\mathbf{3'}$	$\mathbf{1}$
Z_3	ω	ω	1	1	1	1
Z_{16}	1	1	ω_4^3	ω_4^3	ω_4^3	1

Table 3: Driving fields and their transformation properties under $G_f \times Z_{16}$. The fields labelled by E are charged under Z_3 and neutral under Z_{16}. The opposite holds for fields labelled by N. The phases are $\omega = e^{2\pi i/3}$ and $\omega_4 = e^{2\pi i/4}$.

Thus we can divide the flavon superpotential w_{fl} in three parts $w_{fl,e}$, $w_{fl,\nu}$ and $w_{fl,\xi}$

$$w_{fl} = w_{fl,e} + w_{fl,\nu} + w_{fl,\xi} \tag{41}$$

with

$$w_{fl,e} = a_e \, \xi^0_E (\chi_E \chi_E) + \tilde{a}_e \, \tilde{\xi}^0_E (\varphi_E \varphi_E) + b_e \, (\chi^0_E \chi_E \chi_E) + c_e \, (\chi^0_E \varphi_E \varphi_E) \; , \tag{42}$$

$$\begin{aligned} w_{fl,\nu} &= a_\nu\, \xi^0_N \xi^2_N + \tilde{a}_\nu\, \xi^0_N \tilde{\xi}^2_N + \bar{a}_\nu\, \xi^0_N \xi_N \tilde{\xi}_N + b_\nu\, \xi^0_N (\chi_N \chi_N) + c_\nu\, \xi^0_N (\varphi_N \varphi_N) \\ &\quad + d_\nu\, (\chi^0_N \chi_N \chi_N) + e_\nu\, (\chi^0_N \varphi_N \varphi_N) + f_\nu\, \tilde{\xi}_N (\varphi^0_N \varphi_N) + g_\nu\, (\varphi^0_N \varphi_N \varphi_N) \end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

and

$$w_{fl,\xi} = \tilde{\xi}^0_N M^2 + a_\xi \tilde{\xi}^0_N (\xi'_N \xi'_N)\ . \tag{44}$$

A few things are noteworthy: the driving fields ξ^0_E and $\tilde{\xi}^0_E$ are defined in such a way that the former only couples to $(\chi_E \chi_E)$ and the latter only to $(\varphi_E \varphi_E)$; similarly, we use the possibility to define the fields ξ_N and $\tilde{\xi}_N$ in such a way that only $\tilde{\xi}_N$ couples to φ_N at the renormalizable level; furthermore, notice that we neglect all terms containing the MSSM Higgs doublets $h_{u,d}$, since these are completely irrelevant in the discussion of the alignment of the flavon VEVs which typically are of order 10^{12} GeV.

For the F-terms of the driving fields with an index E we find

$$\frac{\partial w_{fl}}{\partial \xi^0_E} = 2a_e\, \chi_{E,1} \chi_{E,2} \tag{45a}$$

$$\frac{\partial w_{fl}}{\partial \tilde{\xi}^0_E} = \tilde{a}_e\, (\varphi^2_{E,1} + 2\varphi_{E,2}\varphi_{E,3}) \tag{45b}$$

$$\frac{\partial w_{fl}}{\partial \chi^0_{E,1}} = b_e\, \chi^2_{E,1} + c_e\, (\varphi^2_{E,3} + 2\varphi_{E,1}\varphi_{E,2}) \tag{45c}$$

$$\frac{\partial w_{fl}}{\partial \chi^0_{E,2}} = b_e\, \chi^2_{E,2} + c_e\, (\varphi^2_{E,2} + 2\varphi_{E,1}\varphi_{E,3}) \tag{45d}$$

Equating these to zero and solving for the VEVs of the flavons χ_E and φ_E we get

$$\langle \chi_E \rangle = v_{\chi_E} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \varphi_E \rangle = v_{\varphi_E} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{46}$$

up to symmetry transformations belonging to S_4. Obviously, also the trivial vacuum is a solution which, however, can be easily excluded by requiring that at least one of the flavons χ_E and φ_E acquires a non-vanishing VEV. The two VEVs v_{χ_E} and v_{φ_E} are related through

$$v^2_{\varphi_E} = -\frac{b_e}{c_e}\, v^2_{\chi_E} \tag{47}$$

with v_{χ_E} being a free parameter which can also be complex. The presence of this parameter indicates a flat direction which exists in the potential in the SUSY limit. Since v_{χ_E} and v_{φ_E} are directly related it is natural to expect them to be of the order of magnitude, as we have done in the preceding discussion. Being their size related to a flat direction we however cannot fix their absolute size, but choose this by hand in order to correctly accommodate the mass of the tau lepton.

Analogously, the F-terms of the driving fields ξ_N^0, χ_N^0 and φ_N^0 are

$$\frac{\partial w_{fl}}{\partial \xi_N^0} = a_\nu\, \xi_N^2 + \tilde{a}_\nu\, \tilde{\xi}_N^2 + \bar{a}_\nu\, \xi_N \tilde{\xi}_N + 2\; b_\nu\, \chi_{N,1}\chi_{N,2} + c_\nu\, (\varphi_{N,1}^2 + 2\varphi_{N,2}\varphi_{N,3}) \tag{48a}$$

$$\frac{\partial w_{fl}}{\partial \chi_{N,1}^0} = d_\nu\, \chi_{N,1}^2 + e_\nu\, (\varphi_{N,3}^2 + 2\varphi_{N,1}\varphi_{N,2}) \tag{48b}$$

$$\frac{\partial w_{fl}}{\partial \chi_{N,2}^0} = d_\nu\, \chi_{N,2}^2 + e_\nu\, (\varphi_{N,2}^2 + 2\varphi_{N,1}\varphi_{N,3}) \tag{48c}$$

$$\frac{\partial w_{fl}}{\partial \varphi_{N,1}^0} = f_\nu\, \tilde{\xi}_N \varphi_{N,1} + 2\, g_\nu\, (\varphi_{N,1}^2 - \varphi_{N,2}\varphi_{N,3}) \tag{48d}$$

$$\frac{\partial w_{fl}}{\partial \varphi_{N,2}^0} = f_\nu\, \tilde{\xi}_N \varphi_{N,3} + 2\, g_\nu\, (\varphi_{N,2}^2 - \varphi_{N,1}\varphi_{N,3}) \tag{48e}$$

$$\frac{\partial w_{fl}}{\partial \varphi_{N,3}^0} = f_\nu\, \tilde{\xi}_N \varphi_{N,2} + 2\, g_\nu\, (\varphi_{N,3}^2 - \varphi_{N,1}\varphi_{N,2}) \tag{48f}$$

Equating also these F-terms to zero we find several possible solutions for the vacuum. These can all be classified and have different characteristics: one class is formed by the trivial vacuum, one class by the vanishing of the VEVs of the fields χ_N and φ_N, a third class comprises the vacua in which the VEV of χ_N vanishes, while the other flavons acquire non-zero VEVs, and the last class requires $\langle \tilde{\xi}_N \rangle = 0$ and all other VEVs to be different from zero. We are indeed interested in this last class and as one sees it can be selected by requiring that also the flavon χ_N being in the two-dimensional representation of S_4 acquires a non-zero VEV. Then all solutions belonging to this class are related by S_4 transformations or by a relative sign between the VEVs of the two components of the flavon χ_N. The latter statement can be easily understood, since we notice that w_{fl} only depends quadratically on χ_N. Given these different possibilities we choose as vacuum

$$\langle \xi_N \rangle = v_{\xi_N}\ , \quad \langle \chi_N \rangle = v_{\chi_N} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}\ , \quad \langle \varphi_N \rangle = v_{\varphi_N} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{49}$$

and $\langle \tilde{\xi}_N \rangle = 0$. The VEVs v_{ξ_N}, v_{χ_N} and v_{φ_N} are related through

$$v_{\xi_N}^2 = \frac{3}{a_\nu} \left(2\, \frac{b_\nu e_\nu}{d_\nu} - c_\nu \right) v_{\varphi_N}^2 \quad \text{and} \quad v_{\chi_N}^2 = -3\, \frac{e_\nu}{d_\nu}\, v_{\varphi_N}^2 \tag{50}$$

with v_{φ_N} parametrizing a flat direction in our flavon superpotential. Notice that this parameter is in general complex so that also the VEVs of ξ_N, χ_N and φ_N are in general complex. Apparently, then CP should be broken in the neutrino sector. However, notice the following: for appropriately chosen parameters of the potential, the VEVs have all the same phase, up to π. Thus, in the neutrino mass matrix this phase turns out to be an overall phase which can be factored out, as discussed above. Notice furthermore that (at least) at the LO level we can re-define the fields of the superpotential in such way that the latter acquires an overall phase which has no physical meaning. The fact that v_{ξ_N}, v_{χ_N} and v_{φ_N} are directly related through the parameters of the superpotential naturally explains that they have the same order of magnitude which we choose to be $\lambda \, \Lambda$. Eventually requesting the F-term of $\tilde{\xi}_N^0$ to vanish leads to

$$v_{\xi'_N}^2 = - \frac{M^2}{a_\xi} \; . \tag{51}$$

For $M^2/a_\xi > 0$ we immediately see that the VEV of ξ'_N is imaginary, as it should be in order to preserve G_ν. Notice for $M \sim \lambda \, \Lambda$ also $\langle \xi_N \rangle$ is of this order of magnitude.

We now turn to the discussion of the non-renormalizable contributions to the flavon superpotential. These induce shifts in the vacuum obtained at LO. Taking into consideration the sizes of the LO VEVs (fields with an index E have a VEV of order $\lambda^2 \, \Lambda$, whereas those with an index N have VEVs of order $\lambda \, \Lambda$), we find the most relevant subleading corrections to arise from the following three operators

$$s_{\nu,1} \, \xi_N \, (\chi_N^0 \xi'_N \chi_N)/\Lambda + \tilde{s}_{\nu,1} \, \tilde{\xi}_N \, (\chi_N^0 \xi'_N \chi_N)/\Lambda + s_{\nu,2} \, (\varphi_N^0 \xi'_N \chi_N \varphi_N)/\Lambda \; . \tag{52}$$

Adding these to w_{fl} and recomputing the F-terms in a linear expansion in the shifts in the vacuum, we find that they induce a correction to the VEV of χ_N

$$\delta v_{\chi_{N,1}} = - \delta v_{\chi_{N,2}} = \frac{s_{\nu,1}}{2 \, d_\nu} \, \frac{v_{\xi_N}}{\Lambda} \, v_{\xi'_N} \tag{53}$$

with $\delta v_{\chi_{N,i}}$ denoting the shifts in the i^{th} component of χ_N, $\langle \chi_{N,i} \rangle = v_{\chi_N} + \delta v_{\chi_{N,i}}$. Being proportional to v_{ξ_N} it carries the phase associated with the flat direction and shared with the LO VEVs of the fields ξ_N, χ_N and φ_N and at the same time it is proportional to $v_{\xi'_N}$ which is imaginary. Its relative suppression with respect to the LO VEVs of the flavons with index N is λ. Thus, the shifted VEV of χ_N can be parameterized as

$$\langle \chi_N \rangle = v_{\chi_N} \left(\begin{array}{c} 1 + i \, \alpha \, \lambda \\ 1 - i \, \alpha \, \lambda \end{array} \right) \tag{54}$$

with α real, showing that this shifted VEV, as expected, leaves invariant the group G_ν, see eq.(14). The other VEVs do not acquire non-trivial shifts at this order. Also this is expected on symmetry grounds. As one can check, all terms, which lead to contributions of order $\lambda^5 \, \Lambda^2$ or larger to the F-terms of the driving fields, if LO flavon VEVs are plugged in, only contain flavons with an index N and thus do not introduce further non-trivial shifts in the vacuum. The most relevant terms including the flavons χ_E and φ_E which indeed do change the alignment are

$$\tilde{\xi}_N^0 (\varphi_E^3)/\Lambda + \tilde{\xi}_N^0 (\varphi_E^2 \chi_E)/\Lambda + \tilde{\xi}_N^0 (\chi_E^3)/\Lambda \; . \tag{55}$$

Their intrinsic size is $\lambda^6 \, \Lambda^2$ and thus they are relatively suppressed by λ^4 compared to the LO terms in eq.(44). Since the VEVs of χ_E and φ_E carry an undetermined phase such contributions lead to a shift in the phase of the VEV of ξ'_N so that it acquire a small real part which is suppressed by λ^4 with respect to $v_{\xi'_N}$. We neglect such a small correction in the discussion of the lepton mass matrices.

Similarly, we can discuss the subleading contributions to $w_{fl,e}$. The ones leading shifts in the vacuum of χ_E and φ_E which cannot be absorbed into its LO form comprise six flavons.

Two of them belong to the $\{\chi_E, \varphi_E\}$ and are necessary in order to saturate the Z_3 symmetry, while the other four ones carry an index N (there are either three fields of the $\{\xi_N, \tilde{\xi}_N, \varphi_N\}$ and one power of χ_N or three fields χ_N and one of the set $\{\xi_N, \tilde{\xi}_N, \varphi_N\}$). Assuming the sizes of the VEVs, as discussed above, these contributions to the F-terms of the driving fields ξ^0_E, $\tilde{\xi}^0_E$ and χ^0_E are of the order $\lambda^8\,\Lambda^2$ and thus suppressed by λ^4 with respect to the contribution from the renormalizable terms in $w_{fl,e}$, see eq.(42). Consequently, they induce shifts in the VEVs of χ_E and φ_E at the relative order λ^4 such that the VEVs take the form

$$\langle \chi_E \rangle = v_{\chi_E} \begin{pmatrix} \beta\,\lambda^4 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \varphi_E \rangle = v_{\varphi_E} \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon\,\lambda^4 \\ 1+\gamma\,\lambda^4 \\ \eta\,\lambda^4 \end{pmatrix} \tag{56}$$

with β, γ, ϵ and η having absolute values of order one and being in general complex.

5 Summary

In this paper we have constructed a SUSY model for leptons with a flavour group $G_f = S_4 \times Z_3$ and a generalized CP symmetry. The spontaneous breaking of these symmetries to a Z_3 group in the charged lepton and to $Z_2 \times CP$ in the neutrino sector leads to a mixing matrix with one free parameter. The latter is determined by the elements of the neutrino mass matrix and is in our model naturally small. This parameter is directly related to the reactor mixing angle which is predicted to be of the correct order λ. At the same time, the other mixing parameters are also predicted, especially the atmospheric mixing angle is maximal as well as the Dirac phase. The two Majorana phases are trivial. The sine square of the solar mixing angle is slightly larger than 1/3. We predict the three neutrino masses to effectively depend on two parameters only at leading order and thus the mass spectrum can only be normally ordered with the absolute neutrino mass scale being fixed. We have discussed in detail the vacuum alignment of the flavour and CP symmetry breaking fields and have shown that this alignment is stable against the inclusion of higher-order terms in the flavon superpotential. We have computed the leading as well as subleading contributions to the charged lepton and neutrino mass matrices and have shown that all our predictions are only slightly corrected by the latter contributions. The most relevant effect of the subleading corrections is the generation of the electron mass. The charged lepton mass hierarchy is correctly reproduced without any tuning, since the different lepton masses arise from operators with one, two and several flavons, respectively.

Note Added: During the completion of this paper another paper [26] has been submitted to the arXiv that contains a similar SUSY model in which the flavour group S_4 and a generalized CP symmetry are broken to subgroups G_e and $G_\nu = Z_2 \times CP$ in the charged lepton and neutrino sector.

Acknowledgements

FF has been partly supported by the European Programme "Unification in the LHC Era", contract PITN-GA-2009-237920 (UNILHC). CH is supported by the ERC Advanced Grant no. 267985, "Electroweak Symmetry Breaking, Flavour and Dark Matter: One Solution for Three Mysteries" (DaMeSyFla).

A Group theory of S_4

We follow the convention and notation of [24] and we repeat for the reader's convenience the form of the S_4 generators S, T and U in this basis, the Kronecker products and the form of the Clebsch Gordan coefficients.

The generators S, T and U in the five different representations are chosen as follows

$$\begin{array}{llll}
\mathbf{1}: & S=1\,, & T=1\,, & U=1\,,\\
\mathbf{1}': & S=1\,, & T=1\,, & U=-1\,,\\
\mathbf{2}: & S=\begin{pmatrix}1&0\\0&1\end{pmatrix}, & T=\begin{pmatrix}\omega&0\\0&\omega^2\end{pmatrix}, & U=\begin{pmatrix}0&1\\1&0\end{pmatrix},\\
\mathbf{3}: & S=\frac{1}{3}\begin{pmatrix}-1&2&2\\2&-1&2\\2&2&-1\end{pmatrix}, & T=\begin{pmatrix}1&0&0\\0&\omega^2&0\\0&0&\omega\end{pmatrix}, & U=-\begin{pmatrix}1&0&0\\0&0&1\\0&1&0\end{pmatrix},\\
\mathbf{3}': & S=\frac{1}{3}\begin{pmatrix}-1&2&2\\2&-1&2\\2&2&-1\end{pmatrix}, & T=\begin{pmatrix}1&0&0\\0&\omega^2&0\\0&0&\omega\end{pmatrix}, & U=\begin{pmatrix}1&0&0\\0&0&1\\0&1&0\end{pmatrix},
\end{array}$$

with $\omega=e^{2\pi i/3}$. These fulfill the relations

$$\begin{array}{l}
S^2=\mathbb{1}\,,\;\; T^3=\mathbb{1}\,,\;\; U^2=\mathbb{1}\,,\\
(ST)^3=\mathbb{1}\,,\;\; (SU)^2=\mathbb{1}\,,\;\; (TU)^2=\mathbb{1}\,,\;\; (STU)^4=\mathbb{1}\,.
\end{array}$$

In order to perform explicit computations of invariants under S_4, we need the Kronecker products as well as Clebsch Gordan coefficients. The Kronecker products are of the form

$$\begin{array}{l}
\mathbf{1}\times\boldsymbol{\mu}=\boldsymbol{\mu}\;\;\forall\;\;\boldsymbol{\mu}\,,\;\; \mathbf{1}'\times\mathbf{1}'=\mathbf{1}\,,\;\; \mathbf{1}'\times\mathbf{2}=\mathbf{2}\,,\\
\mathbf{1}'\times\mathbf{3}=\mathbf{3}'\,,\;\; \mathbf{1}'\times\mathbf{3}'=\mathbf{3}\,,\\
\mathbf{2}\times\mathbf{2}=\mathbf{1}+\mathbf{1}'+\mathbf{2}\,,\;\; \mathbf{2}\times\mathbf{3}=\mathbf{2}\times\mathbf{3}'=\mathbf{3}+\mathbf{3}'\,,\\
\mathbf{3}\times\mathbf{3}=\mathbf{3}'\times\mathbf{3}'=\mathbf{1}+\mathbf{2}+\mathbf{3}+\mathbf{3}'\,,\;\; \mathbf{3}\times\mathbf{3}'=\mathbf{1}'+\mathbf{2}+\mathbf{3}+\mathbf{3}'\,.
\end{array}$$

In the following we list the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients using the notation $x\sim\mathbf{1}$, $x'\sim\mathbf{1}'$, $(y_1,y_2)^t,(\tilde{y}_1,\tilde{y}_2)^t\sim\mathbf{2}$, $(z_1,z_2,z_3)^t,(\tilde{z}_1,\tilde{z}_2,\tilde{z}_3)^t\sim\mathbf{3}$, $(z'_1,z'_2,z'_3)^t,(\tilde{z}'_1,\tilde{z}'_2,\tilde{z}'_3)^t\sim\mathbf{3}'$.
For a singlet multiplied with a doublet or a triplet we find

$$\begin{array}{ll}
\mathbf{1}^{(\prime)}\times\mathbf{2}: & (xy_1,xy_2)^t\sim\mathbf{2}\,,\;\; (x'y_1,-x'y_2)^t\sim\mathbf{2}\,,\\
\mathbf{1}^{(\prime)}\times\mathbf{3}: & (xz_1,xz_2,xz_3)^t\sim\mathbf{3}\,,\;\; (x'z_1,x'z_2,x'z_3)^t\sim\mathbf{3}'\,,\\
\mathbf{1}^{(\prime)}\times\mathbf{3}': & (xz'_1,xz'_2,xz'_3)^t\sim\mathbf{3}'\,,\;\; (x'z'_1,x'z'_2,x'z'_3)^t\sim\mathbf{3}\,.
\end{array}$$

For a doublet coupled to a doublet

$$\mathbf{2}\times\mathbf{2}: \quad y_1\tilde{y}_2 + y_2\tilde{y}_1 \sim \mathbf{1}\ ,\ \ y_1\tilde{y}_2 - y_2\tilde{y}_1 \sim \mathbf{1'}\ ,\ \ (y_2\tilde{y}_2, y_1\tilde{y}_1)^t \sim \mathbf{2}$$

and for a doublet multiplied with a triplet we have

$$\mathbf{2}\times\mathbf{3}: \quad (y_1z_2+y_2z_3, y_1z_3+y_2z_1, y_1z_1+y_2z_2)^t \sim \mathbf{3}\ ,\ \ (y_1z_2-y_2z_3, y_1z_3-y_2z_1, y_1z_1-y_2z_2)^t \sim \mathbf{3'}\ ,$$

and

$$\mathbf{2}\times\mathbf{3'}: \quad (y_1z'_2-y_2z'_3, y_1z'_3-y_2z'_1, y_1z'_1-y_2z'_2)^t \sim \mathbf{3}\ ,\ \ (y_1z'_2+y_2z'_3, y_1z'_3+y_2z'_1, y_1z'_1+y_2z'_2)^t \sim \mathbf{3'}\ .$$

For the products $\mathbf{3}\times\mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{3'}\times\mathbf{3'}$ (with z_i to be replaced by z'_i) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& z_1\tilde{z}_1 + z_2\tilde{z}_3 + z_3\tilde{z}_2 \sim \mathbf{1}\ ,\ \ (z_1\tilde{z}_3 + z_2\tilde{z}_2 + z_3\tilde{z}_1, z_1\tilde{z}_2 + z_2\tilde{z}_1 + z_3\tilde{z}_3)^t \sim \mathbf{2}\ ,\\
& (z_2\tilde{z}_3 - z_3\tilde{z}_2, z_1\tilde{z}_2 - z_2\tilde{z}_1, z_3\tilde{z}_1 - z_1\tilde{z}_3)^t \sim \mathbf{3}\ ,\\
& (2z_1\tilde{z}_1 - z_2\tilde{z}_3 - z_3\tilde{z}_2, 2z_3\tilde{z}_3 - z_1\tilde{z}_2 - z_2\tilde{z}_1, 2z_2\tilde{z}_2 - z_1\tilde{z}_3 - z_3\tilde{z}_1)^t \sim \mathbf{3'}\ ,
\end{aligned}$$

while the Clebsch Gordan coefficients for the product $\mathbf{3}\times\mathbf{3'}$ read

$$\begin{aligned}
& z_1z'_1 + z_2z'_3 + z_3z'_2 \sim \mathbf{1'}\ ,\ \ (z_1z'_3 + z_2z'_2 + z_3z'_1, -(z_1z'_2 + z_2z'_1 + z_3z'_3))^t \sim \mathbf{2}\ ,\\
& (2z_1z'_1 - z_2z'_3 - z_3z'_2, 2z_3z'_3 - z_1z'_2 - z_2z'_1, 2z_2z'_2 - z_1z'_3 - z_3z'_1)^t \sim \mathbf{3}\ ,\\
& (z_2z'_3 - z_3z'_2, z_1z'_2 - z_2z'_1, z_3z'_1 - z_1z'_3)^t \sim \mathbf{3'}\ .
\end{aligned}$$

References

[1] G. Altarelli, F. Feruglio, Rev. Mod. Phys. **82** (2010) 2701-2729 [arXiv:1002.0211 [hep-ph]]; H. Ishimori, T. Kobayashi, H. Ohki, Y. Shimizu, H. Okada, M. Tanimoto, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. **183** (2010) 1-163 [arXiv:1003.3552 [hep-th]].

[2] P. F. Harrison, D. H. Perkins and W. G. Scott, Phys. Lett. B **530** (2002) 167 [hep-ph/0202074]; Z. -z. Xing, Phys. Lett. B **533** (2002) 85 [hep-ph/0204049].

[3] G. Altarelli and F. Feruglio, Nucl. Phys. B **741** (2006) 215 [hep-ph/0512103]; I. de Medeiros Varzielas, S. F. King and G. G. Ross, Phys. Lett. B **644** (2007) 153 [hep-ph/0512313]; X. -G. He, Y. -Y. Keum and R. R. Volkas, JHEP **0604** (2006) 039 [hep-ph/0601001]; E. Ma, Phys. Rev. D **70** (2004) 031901 [hep-ph/0404199].

[4] C. S. Lam, Phys. Lett. B **656** (2007) 193 [arXiv:0708.3665 [hep-ph]]; C. S. Lam, Phys. Rev. Lett. **101** (2008) 121602 [arXiv:0804.2622 [hep-ph]]; C. S. Lam, Phys. Rev. D **78** (2008) 073015 [arXiv:0809.1185 [hep-ph]]; E. Ma, Phys. Lett. B **632** (2006) 352 [hep-ph/0508231].

[5] K. Abe *et al.* [T2K Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **107** (2011) 041801 [arXiv:1106.2822 [hep-ex]]; P. Adamson *et al.* [MINOS Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **107** (2011) 181802 [arXiv:1108.0015 [hep-ex]]; Y. Abe *et al.* [Double-Chooz Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **108** (2012) 131801 [arXiv:1112.6353 [hep-ex]]; F. P. An *et al.* [Daya-Bay Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **108** (2012) 171803 [arXiv:1203.1669 [hep-ex]]; J. K. Ahn *et al.* [RENO Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **108** (2012) 191802 [arXiv:1204.0626 [hep-ex]]; F. P. An *et al.* [Daya-Bay Collaboration], arXiv:1210.6327 [hep-ex].

[6] M. C. Gonzalez-Garcia, M. Maltoni, J. Salvado and T. Schwetz, JHEP **1212** (2012) 123 [arXiv:1209.3023 [hep-ph]].

[7] G. Altarelli, F. Feruglio and L. Merlo, Fortschr. Phys. 61, No. 4 5, 507 534 (2013).

[8] R. de Adelhart Toorop, F. Feruglio and C. Hagedorn, Phys. Lett. B **703** (2011) 447 [arXiv:1107.3486 [hep-ph]]; R. de Adelhart Toorop, F. Feruglio and C. Hagedorn, Nucl. Phys. B **858** (2012) 437 [arXiv:1112.1340 [hep-ph]].

[9] S. -F. Ge, D. A. Dicus and W. W. Repko, Phys. Lett. B **702** (2011) 220 [arXiv:1104.0602 [hep-ph]]; S. -F. Ge, D. A. Dicus and W. W. Repko, Phys. Rev. Lett. **108** (2012) 041801 [arXiv:1108.0964 [hep-ph]]; D. Hernandez and A. Y. Smirnov, Phys. Rev. D **86** (2012) 053014 [arXiv:1204.0445 [hep-ph]]; D. Hernandez and A. Y. Smirnov, arXiv:1212.2149 [hep-ph].

[10] G. Ecker, W. Grimus and H. Neufeld, J. Phys. A **20** (1987) L807; H. Neufeld, W. Grimus and G. Ecker, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **3** (1988) 603.

[11] P. F. Harrison and W. G. Scott, Phys. Lett. B **535** (2002) 163 [hep-ph/0203209]; P. F. Harrison and W. G. Scott, Phys. Lett. B **547** (2002) 219 [hep-ph/0210197]; P. F. Harrison and W. G. Scott, Phys. Lett. B **594** (2004) 324 [hep-ph/0403278].

[12] W. Grimus and L. Lavoura, Phys. Lett. B **579** (2004) 113 [hep-ph/0305309].

[13] R. Krishnan, P. F. Harrison and W. G. Scott, arXiv:1211.2000 [hep-ph].

[14] R. N. Mohapatra and C. C. Nishi, Phys. Rev. D **86** (2012) 073007 [arXiv:1208.2875 [hep-ph]].

[15] G. C. Branco, J. M. Gerard and W. Grimus, Phys. Lett. B **136** (1984) 383; I. de Medeiros Varzielas and D. Emmanuel-Costa, Phys. Rev. D **84** (2011) 117901 [arXiv:1106.5477 [hep-ph]]; I. de Medeiros Varzielas, D. Emmanuel-Costa and P. Leser, Phys. Lett. B **716** (2012) 193 [arXiv:1204.3633 [hep-ph]]; I. de Medeiros Varzielas, JHEP **1208** (2012) 055 [arXiv:1205.3780 [hep-ph]]; G. Bhattacharyya, I. de Medeiros Varzielas and P. Leser, Phys. Rev. Lett. **109** (2012) 241603 [arXiv:1210.0545 [hep-ph]].

[16] K. S. Babu and J. Kubo, Phys. Rev. D **71** (2005) 056006 [hep-ph/0411226]; K. S. Babu, K. Kawashima and J. Kubo, Phys. Rev. D **83** (2011) 095008 [arXiv:1103.1664 [hep-ph]].

[17] M. -C. Chen and K. T. Mahanthappa, Phys. Lett. B **681** (2009) 444 [arXiv:0904.1721 [hep-ph]]; A. Meroni, S. T. Petcov and M. Spinrath, Phys. Rev. D **86** (2012) 113003 [arXiv:1205.5241 [hep-ph]].

[18] F. Feruglio, C. Hagedorn and R. Ziegler, arXiv:1211.5560 [hep-ph].

[19] M. Holthausen, M. Lindner and M. A. Schmidt, arXiv:1211.6953 [hep-ph]; see also W. Grimus and M. N. Rebelo, Phys. Rept. **281** (1997) 239 [hep-ph/9506272].

[20] G. Aad *et al.* [ATLAS Collaboration], Phys. Lett. B **716** (2012) 1 [arXiv:1207.7214 [hep-ex]]; S. Chatrchyan *et al.* [CMS Collaboration], Phys. Lett. B **716** (2012) 30 [arXiv:1207.7235 [hep-ex]].

[21] A. Djouadi and G. G. Moreau, arXiv:1303.6591 [hep-ph].

[22] X. G. He and A. Zee, Phys. Lett. B **645** (2007) 427 [arXiv:hep-ph/0607163]; W. Grimus and L. Lavoura, JHEP **0809**, 106 (2008) [arXiv:0809.0226 [hep-ph]]; C. H. Albright, W. Rodejohann, Eur. Phys. J. **C62** (2009) 599-608 [arXiv:0812.0436 [hep-ph]]; Y. Lin, Nucl. Phys. B **824** (2010) 95 [arXiv:0905.3534 [hep-ph]]; W. Grimus, L. Lavoura and A. Singraber, Phys. Lett. B **686**, 141 (2010) [arXiv:0911.5120 [hep-ph]].

[23] C. Hagedorn and M. Serone, JHEP **1110** (2011) 083 [arXiv:1106.4021 [hep-ph]]; C. Hagedorn and M. Serone, JHEP **1202** (2012) 077 [arXiv:1110.4612 [hep-ph]].

[24] C. Hagedorn, S. F. King and C. Luhn, JHEP **1006** (2010) 048 [arXiv:1003.4249 [hep-ph]].

[25] See for instance the first reference of [3].

[26] G. -J. Ding, S. F. King, C. Luhn and A. J. Stuart, arXiv:1303.6180 [hep-ph].